

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/617,669	07/17/2000	Eric P. Traut	068167.0103	8184
7590 03/24/2004			EXAMINER	
Steven J. Rocci			CHUONG, TRUC T	
Woodcock Was	hburn LLP			
Woodcock Place	e		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
46th Floor			2174	
Philadelphia, P.	A 19103		DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<i></i>
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	N
•		09/617,669	. TRAUT ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Truc T Chuong	2174	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ac	dress
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- p period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, ply within the statutory minimur d will apply and will expire SIX (te, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•			
• —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 in This action is FINAL. 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for forma	• •	e merits is
Disposit	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideratio	•	
Applicat	on Papers			-
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	cepted or b) object e drawing(s) be held in a ction is required if the dr	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	`, '
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	nts have been receive nts have been receive ority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National	Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	Pap 3) 5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	O-1 5 2)

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is responsive to Amendment B, filed 12/19/03.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 8, 11, and 12 are independent claims, and claim 4 is amended. This action is made final.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1-2, 6, 8-15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,673,403).

As to claim 1, Brown teaches a computer system for running one or more software applications, wherein the software applications are suitable for generating a video output, comprising:

a host operating system suitable for displaying a graphical user interface (X Window System, col. 2 lines 15-20);

multiple emulated operating systems being emulated by one or more emulator programs running on the host operating system (col. 2 lines 1-31 and figs. 3-4); and

wherein the host operating system is able to display for a user a reduced-size (sizing features, col. 2 lines 25-26, and col. 4 lines 47-54) representation of the video output of the emulated operating systems (figs. 3-4) that are being operated in a background mode (col. 2 lines 1-31, col. 4 line 55-col. 5 line 39, and figs. 3-4).

Art Unit: 2174

As to claim 2, Brown teaches the computer system of claim 1, further comprising one or more virtual video memory components suitable for storing the video output of the emulated operating systems (the GUI allocates display screen space, col. 2 lines 20-21, and col. 4 lines 28-54).

As to claim 6, Brown teaches the computer system of claim 1,

wherein the graphical user interface is a windowing environment suitable for displaying one or more windows (col. 2 lines 1-31 and figs. 3-4); and

wherein the portion of the graphical user interface comprising the reduced-size representation is a window (sizing features, col. 2 lines 25-26, and col. 4 lines 47-54).

As to claim 8, Brown teaches a computer system for running one or more software applications, wherein the software applications are suitable for generating a video output, comprising:

a host operating system suitable for displaying a graphical user interface (X Window System, col. 2 lines 15-20);

multiple emulated virtual machines being emulated by one or more emulator programs running on the host operating system (col. 4 lines 1-54, and figs. 3-4); and

wherein the host operating system is able to display for a user a reduced-size representation of the video output of each virtual machine being operated in a background mode (col. 2 lines 1-31, col. 4 line 55-col. 5 line 39, and figs. 3-4).

As to claim 9, Brown teaches the computer system of claim 8, wherein the reduced-size representations are representations of the video outputs of the virtual machines that are being operated in the background mode (col. 2 lines 1-31, col. 4 line 55-col. 5 line 39, and figs. 3-4).

Art Unit: 2174

As to claim 10, Brown teaches the computer system of claim 9,

further comprising a virtual video memory associated with each of the virtual machines (the GUI allocates display screen space, col. 2 lines 20-21, and col. 4 lines 28-54); and

wherein the reduced-size representations are generated from the video information stored in the virtual video memory associated with each virtual machine (each client program specifically for a particular operating system, col. 3 lines 42-55, and col. 5 lines 18-28).

As to claim 11, Brown teaches a method for displaying a reduced-size image of multiple emulated computer systems, comprising the steps of

suspending one or more of the multiple emulated computer systems by saving to memory in the host computer system the image of the emulated computer system; reading in at the emulator program from memory in the host computer system the image of the suspended emulated computer system; interpreting in the emulator program the contents of the saved image of the suspended emulated computer system (wait for some period of time before drawing to the X window, col. 6 lines 21-34, and col. 5 lines 18-28);

displaying a reduced-size representation of the suspended emulated computer system (figs. 3-4).

As to claim 12, Brown teaches a method for displaying a reduced-size image of multiple emulated computer systems, comprising the steps of:

reading in at the emulator program from memory in the host computer system the image of the emulated computer system; interpreting in the emulator program the contents of the image of the emulated computer system (col. 5 lines 18-28);

displaying a reduced-size representation of the emulated computer system (figs. 3-4);

Art Unit: 2174

periodically updating the reduced-size representation of the emulated computer system. (clock program 109 is showing real time, col. 4 line 55-col. 5 line 28, and figs. 3-4).

As to claims 13-15, and 17-20, they are method claims of system claims 1, 1, 2, 8, 1, 9, and 10. Note the rejections of claims 1, 1, 2, 8, 1, 9, and 10 above respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 3-5, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,673,403) in view of Ote et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,367,628).

As to claim 3, Brown teaches the computer system of claim 2, but Brown does not teach wherein one or more of the video memory components are VRAM memory. Ote clearly teaches VRAM memory (col. 4 lines 47-56, and figs. 2-3). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention that a person with ordinary skill in the art would want to have this highly desirable feature of Ote's VRAM into Brown's X Window System to provide fast-block-transfer access to the internal memory.

As to claim 4, Brown teaches the computer system of claim 1, wherein the emulated operating systems operating in a background mode are active (different operating systems are running, col. 2 lines 10-20, and figs. 3-4), and one or more thumbnail images (icons, col. 5 lines 1-6, and figs. 3-4); but Brown does not clearly show wherein information stored on the video memory components at predetermined intervals. Ote clearly teaches periodically transfer display text and image data, col. 3 lines 50-55, and col. 4 lines 47-55). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention that a person with ordinary skill in the art would want to add Ote's time interval into Brown's X Window System to update displayed information.

Art Unit: 2174

As to claim 5, Brown teaches the computer system of claim 4, wherein the predetermined intervals are such that the thumbnail images are real-time representations of the video output from the active software applications (clock program 109 is showing real time, col. 4 line 55-col. 5 line 28, and figs. 3-4).

As to claim 16, this is a method claim of system claim 3. Note the rejection of claim 3 above.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,673,403) in view of Brett (U.S. Patent No. 5,850,471).

As to claim 7, Brown does not teach the reduced-size representations are created using a bilinear sampling technique; however, Brett clearly describes the bilinear sampling technique in his High-definition Digital Video Processing System (col. 10 lines 58-74 and col. 11 lines 1-11). It would have been obvious, at the time of the invention, a person with ordinary skill in the art would want to have this data reduction feature of Brett's bilinear sampling technique into Brown's X Window System to improve performance and quality in graphic data loading process (col. 11 lines 1-10).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 12/19/03 in Amendment B have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argued the following:

Brown does not teach an <u>emulated virtual machine</u> running on one host operating system.

Art Unit: 2174

The Examiner disagrees for the following reasons:

Brown clearly teaches that to be able to run and display an application written for a different operating system, the application calls are <u>mapped</u> into that operating system form which enable the application commands to be <u>recognized by the library</u> of function calls (col. 2 lines 3-43). It means the data of the second operating system will utilize a part of memory in the first operating system to run and display its data on the first operating system; therefore, the mapping technique and utilizing a part of memory are how the emulated virtual machine actually works.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2174

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T Chuong whose telephone number is 703-305-5753. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Truc T. Chuong

03/11/04

Bustine Vincaid

SUPERVISORY FATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100